

ACLA COURSE STUDY GUIDE

Transforming Our World: The Sustainable Development Goals

A complete guide to the 17 Global Goals — from the blueprint that replaced the MDGs to localizing the SDGs in your own community.

Prof. Grace Adeyinka · Beginner

7 modules · 35 lessons · 8 weeks

About This Course	3
Module 1: Foundations: The 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals	4
1.1 From MDGs to SDGs: a brief history of global development goal-setting (2000-2015)	4
1.2 Universality and 'Leave No One Behind': why the SDGs apply to every country, rich and poor	5
1.3 Interlinkages: mapping how the 17 goals connect and reinforce (or trade off against) one another	5
1.4 Hands-On Activity	6
Module 2: No One Left Hungry or Sick: Poverty, Hunger, and Health (SDGs 1, 2 & 3)	6
2.1 Defining and measuring poverty: income poverty vs. multidimensional poverty	7
2.2 Zero Hunger: food security, nutrition, and sustainable agriculture for smallholder farmers	7
2.3 Case Study: Learners select a community they know well (their own, or a documented)	8
2.4 Hands-On Activity	8
Module 3: Learning, Equality, and Fairness: Education, Gender Equality, and Reduced Inequalities (SDGs 4, 5 & 10)	8
3.1 Quality Education as an accelerator: literacy, vocational training, and lifelong learning	9
3.2 Gender Equality: closing gaps in pay, leadership, unpaid care work, and harmful practices (child marriage, FGM)	10
3.3 Reduced Inequalities: income, social, and political inequality within and among nations	11
3.4 Intersectionality: how gender, disability, ethnicity, and income compound disadvantage	12
3.5 Hands-On Activity	12
Module 4: Powering Progress: Water, Energy, Decent Work, and Infrastructure (SDGs 6, 7, 8 & 9)	12
4.1 Clean Water and Sanitation: access, quality, and management of water resources (WASH)	13
4.2 Affordable and Clean Energy: the renewable energy transition and universal energy access	14
4.3 Decent Work and Economic Growth: informal labour, youth employment, and inclusive growth	15
4.4 Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure: resilient infrastructure and appropriate technology for development	16
4.5 Hands-On Activity	16
Module 5: Living Within Planetary Limits: Sustainable Cities, Responsible Consumption, and Climate Action (SDGs 11, 12 & 13)	16
5.1 Sustainable Cities and Communities: urbanisation, housing, transport, and public space	17
5.2 Responsible Consumption and Production: circular economy, food waste, and sustainable supply chains	18
5.3 Climate Action: mitigation vs. adaptation; the science-policy link (Paris Agreement)	19
5.4 Case study: how climate change intersects with poverty, migration, and conflict	20

5.5 Hands-On Activity	20
Module 6: Protecting People and Planet: Life Below Water, Life on Land, and Peace, Justice & Strong Institutions (SDGs 14, 15 & 16)	20
6.1 Life Below Water: overfishing, pollution, ocean acidification, and coastal livelihoods	21
6.2 Life on Land: deforestation, desertification, land degradation, and biodiversity conservation	22
6.3 Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions: rule of law, anti-corruption, and inclusive governance	23
6.4 Case study: environmental governance and conflict prevention in resource-dependent regions	24
6.5 Hands-On Activity	24
7.1 Why Partnerships Matter: finance, technology, capacity-building, trade, and data as means of implementation	25
7.2 Localizing the SDGs: adapting global targets to national and community realities	26
7.3 From Plan to Action: problem definition, theory of change, stakeholders, and indicators	27
7.4 Capstone Project Studio: Developing, Refining, and Presenting Your SDG Action Plan	28
7.5 System-Level Marking Rules (for LMS configuration)	28
7.6 Capstone Project Rubric (SDG Action Plan) - 100 points	29
7.7 Hands-On Activity	29
Final Assessment & Certificate	30

About This Course

Transforming Our World: The Sustainable Development Goals is a comprehensive, self-paced course that takes learners from the origins of the 2030 Agenda to practical action on the ground. Across seven modules, it explores the five Ps of the SDG framework — People, Planet, Prosperity, Peace and Partnership — devoting detailed attention to ending poverty and hunger, health and education, gender equality, climate and ecosystems, decent work and sustainable cities, and finally localization: turning global goals into community-level change. Each module combines focused lessons, video lectures, real case studies from Africa and beyond, and a 10-question quiz, with a final assessment that unlocks a certificate of completion.

Course information

Course	Transforming Our World: The Sustainable Development Goals
Instructor	Prof. Grace Adeyinka
Level	Beginner
Structure	7 modules · 35 lessons · 8 weeks
Assessment	Module quizzes (pass mark 60%) and a final test (pass mark 80%). Certificate issued on final success, verifiable at acla.io/verify .

What you will be able to do

1. Describe the historical journey from the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) to the 2015 adoption of the 2030 Agenda.
2. List and correctly categorise all 17 SDGs and explain the 5 P's framework.
3. Differentiate between goals, targets, and indicators within the SDG framework.
4. Identify which SDGs are most relevant to the learner's own country/community.
5. Explain the multidimensional nature of poverty and distinguish it from income poverty alone.
6. Describe the causes and consequences of hunger and malnutrition, and identify sustainable agriculture solutions.
7. Summarise the key targets of SDG 3 and explain the concept of Universal Health Coverage (UHC).
8. Assess how poverty, hunger, and poor health reinforce one another in a vicious cycle, and how breaking one link helps the others.

How to use this study guide

This guide is the complete companion to the Tapi Learn mobile course. Each chapter corresponds to one course module and reproduces every lesson in full, including the key takeaways. Read a lesson in the app, then revisit the same text here to revise; the structure and headings match the in-app lesson reader. After

finishing a module, take the module quiz in the app (60% to pass), and after all modules, the final test (80% to pass) to earn your verified ACLA certificate of completion.

Module 1: Foundations: The 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals

By the end of this module, learners will be able to: Describe the historical journey from the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) to the 2015 adoption of the 2030 Agenda. List and correctly categorise all 17 SDGs and explain the 5 P's framework.

4 lessons · 11m

1.1 From MDGs to SDGs: a brief history of global development goal-setting (2000-2015)

In September 2000, 189 countries adopted the UN Millennium Declaration, which was distilled into eight Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) spanning 2000 to 2015: eradicating extreme poverty and hunger, achieving universal primary education, promoting gender equality, reducing child mortality, improving maternal health, combating HIV/AIDS and other diseases, ensuring environmental sustainability, and building a global partnership for development. The MDGs achieved notable successes — the global extreme poverty rate fell by more than half, and child mortality dropped substantially — but they attracted significant criticism. They were drafted largely by a small group of experts and UN officials with limited input from developing countries or civil society; they applied almost exclusively to poorer nations, treating wealthy countries mainly as aid providers rather than as actors needing their own transformation; they said little about inequality within countries, environmental limits, governance, or human rights; and they addressed poverty, health, education and environment as largely separate silos rather than an interconnected system. Progress was also highly uneven, with Sub-Saharan Africa lagging on most indicators. Learning from these gaps, the process that produced the SDGs, formally titled 'Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development' and adopted by all 193 UN member states in September 2015, was deliberately more inclusive: the 'Open Working Group' and 'My World' global survey process consulted governments, businesses, academia and millions of citizens over roughly three years, producing a universal framework applicable to every country and explicitly integrating economic, social and environmental dimensions rather than treating them separately.

1.2 Universality and 'Leave No One Behind': why the SDGs apply to every country, rich and poor

Universality is one of the most significant structural departures the SDGs make from the MDGs. Where the MDGs were framed primarily as targets for developing countries to meet with financial and technical support from wealthy donor nations, the SDGs apply to all 193 UN member states without exception, reflecting the recognition that sustainability challenges — climate change, inequality, unsustainable consumption, weak governance — are present in every country, including the wealthiest. A high-income country may have eradicated extreme poverty by the international \$2.15-a-day line, but can still face significant relative poverty, inequality, unsustainable resource consumption, and gaps in decent work or affordable housing that fall squarely within the SDG framework. Universality also means high-income countries' policies have direct spillover effects on others — consumption patterns, carbon emissions, financial regulation and trade rules set in wealthy economies materially shape development outcomes elsewhere — so their domestic action is considered integral to global goal achievement, not optional. The complementary principle, 'Leave No One Behind' (LNOB), commits countries to prioritise reaching the furthest behind first and to disaggregate progress by income, sex, age, disability, migratory status, geographic location and other characteristics defining national context, rather than reporting only national averages that can mask deep exclusion of specific groups. LNOB is operationalised partly through SDG target 17.18, which calls for increased availability of high-quality, timely and disaggregated data, since a country cannot identify who is being left behind without data granular enough to reveal it — directly connecting this principle to the localization and data challenges covered in Module 7.

1.3 Interlinkages: mapping how the 17 goals connect and reinforce (or trade off against) one another

Because the SDGs address an interconnected system rather than 17 independent issues, progress or failure on any single goal reverberates across others. Interlinkages research, notably the framework developed by the International Council for Science (ICSU) and widely adopted by UN agencies, scores relationships between targets on a scale from strongly reinforcing to strongly counteracting. Synergies are common: improving girls' education (Goal 4) is strongly associated with reduced child marriage, lower fertility rates and improved child health, advancing Goals 3 and 5 simultaneously; expanding access to clean energy (Goal 7) reduces indoor air pollution deaths while also cutting emissions relevant to Goal 13. Trade-offs are equally important to recognise and are often underemphasised in promotional SDG communication: pursuing rapid economic growth and industrialisation (Goal 8, 9) without safeguards can increase greenhouse gas emissions and resource extraction, undermining Goals 12, 13, 14 and 15; expanding irrigated agriculture to fight hunger (Goal 2) can deplete water resources needed for Goal 6 and aquatic ecosystems under Goal 14 or 15 if not carefully managed; and biofuel expansion intended to advance clean energy targets has in some contexts driven deforestation or competed with food-crop land, undermining the very sustainability goals it aimed to serve. Recognising these interlinkages is not an argument against pursuing ambitious goals, but an argument for integrated policy design: sectoral ministries and local governments that plan Goal 2 (hunger), Goal 6 (water) and Goal 15 (land) interventions in isolation risk each undermining the others, whereas coordinated planning can identify and prioritise interventions that generate synergies across multiple goals simultaneously, maximising limited implementation resources.

1.4 Hands-On Activity

Using the 17 SDG icons as a checklist, learners map their own daily life, household, and community against each goal, marking where they already contribute positively and where a gap or challenge exists. 1. Download the 'SDG Footprint Worksheet' (Course Reader, Worksheet 1). 2. For each of the 17 goals, write one sentence describing how it shows up (positively or negatively) in your daily life, workplace, or community. 3. Identify your 'Top 3' SDGs of personal concern and briefly justify your choice (150-250 words total). 4. Submit as a PDF or Word document, or post a summary to the Module 1 discussion forum for peer feedback. Deliverable: 1-2 page worksheet or forum post Discussion Prompt: Post a 100-150 word summary of your activity to the Module 1 discussion forum and give constructive feedback to at least one peer. Criterion — Points — What Full Marks Look Like Understanding of SDG content — 5 — Accurately applies concepts and terminology from the module. Real-world application — 5 — Connects the activity to a genuine, specific local/real context. Critical analysis — 5 — Shows evidence of reasoning, not just description; identifies trade-offs or barriers. Clarity & completeness — 5 — Follows the required format/length; well organised and clearly written. Total: 20 points. Pass mark: 14/20 (70%). Instructor/peer feedback must include at least one strength and one specific improvement suggestion for every submission - never a bare numeric score alone.

Module 2: No One Left Hungry or Sick: Poverty, Hunger, and Health (SDGs 1, 2 & 3)

By the end of this module, learners will be able to: Explain the multidimensional nature of poverty and distinguish it from income poverty alone. Describe the causes and consequences of hunger and malnutrition, and identify sustainable agriculture solutions.

4 lessons · 10m

2.1 Defining and measuring poverty: income poverty vs. multidimensional poverty

The most widely used poverty measure is the international poverty line maintained by the World Bank, currently set at \$2.15 per person per day in 2017 purchasing-power-parity terms, below which a person is classified as living in extreme poverty. Income-based measures have clear advantages: they are relatively simple to calculate from household survey data, comparable across countries and over time, and directly tied to SDG target 1.1's global monitoring framework. However, income poverty captures only one dimension of deprivation and can miss people who have adequate cash income but still lack access to clean water, healthcare, education or safe housing — or, conversely, count as 'non-poor' households experiencing severe deprivation in kind rather than cash, such as subsistence farmers. In response, the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI), developed by the Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI) in partnership with UNDP, measures poverty across ten indicators spanning three dimensions — health (nutrition, child mortality), education (years of schooling, school attendance) and living standards (cooking fuel, sanitation, drinking water, electricity, housing, assets) — classifying a household as multidimensionally poor if it is deprived across a third or more of the weighted indicators. The MPI reveals that multidimensional poverty and income poverty overlap substantially but not completely: some countries have millions of people who are income-poor but not multidimensionally poor, and vice versa, meaning policies designed around a single metric can misallocate resources. SDG target 1.2 explicitly calls for reducing poverty 'in all its dimensions according to national definitions,' reflecting growing consensus that multidimensional measurement better guides integrated, cross-sectoral policy than income alone.

2.2 Zero Hunger: food security, nutrition, and sustainable agriculture for smallholder farmers

Food security, as defined by the FAO, exists when all people, at all times, have physical, social and economic access to sufficient, safe and nutritious food that meets their dietary needs, and rests on four interlocking pillars: availability (enough food is produced or imported), access (people can afford and physically obtain it), utilisation (the body can absorb its nutritional value, which depends on clean water, sanitation and health status), and stability (these conditions hold reliably over time, not just in good years). SDG 2 links hunger directly to nutrition and sustainable agriculture, recognising that undernutrition, micronutrient deficiencies ('hidden hunger') and rising overweight and obesity can coexist within the same country or even household, a phenomenon known as the double or triple burden of malnutrition. Globally, an estimated 700–780 million people face hunger, with numbers rising in recent years due to conflict, climate shocks and economic instability, reversing years of earlier progress. Smallholder farmers — typically operating under two hectares — produce a substantial share of food consumed in low- and middle-income countries and represent a large proportion of the world's poor and food-insecure population simultaneously, since many smallholders are net food buyers who cannot grow enough to feed their own households year-round. Supporting smallholders sustainably therefore addresses hunger, poverty and rural livelihoods at once, through improved access to climate-resilient seeds, extension services, fair markets and credit, storage infrastructure to reduce post-harvest losses (which can exceed a third of production in some regions), and agroecological practices that build long-term soil health rather than depleting it, connecting this topic directly to the land degradation and agroecology themes covered in Module 4.

2.3 Case Study: Learners select a community they know well (their own, or a documented

Learners select a community they know well (their own, or a documented case from the readings) and analyse how poverty, hunger, and health challenges interact there. 1. Choose a community (local or from a case study in the readings). 2. Identify at least 2 indicators each for poverty, hunger/nutrition, and health status in that community. 3. Draw a simple cause-and-effect diagram showing how the three issues reinforce each other. 4. Propose ONE realistic, low-cost intervention that could break the cycle, citing at least one target from SDG 1, 2, or 3. 5. Submit a 400-600 word brief or a one-page infographic. Deliverable: 400-600 word case study brief or infographic Discussion Prompt: Post a 100-150 word summary of your activity to the Module 2 discussion forum and give constructive feedback to at least one peer.

2.4 Hands-On Activity

Criterion — Points — What Full Marks Look Like Understanding of SDG content — 5 — Accurately applies concepts and terminology from the module. Real-world application — 5 — Connects the activity to a genuine, specific local/real context. Critical analysis — 5 — Shows evidence of reasoning, not just description; identifies trade-offs or barriers. Clarity & completeness — 5 — Follows the required format/length; well organised and clearly written. Total: 20 points. Pass mark: 14/20 (70%). Instructor/peer feedback must include at least one strength and one specific improvement suggestion for every submission - never a bare numeric score alone.

Module 3: Learning, Equality, and Fairness: Education, Gender Equality, and Reduced Inequalities (SDGs 4, 5 & 10)

By the end of this module, learners will be able to: Explain why inclusive, equitable quality education is described as a foundation for achieving all other SDGs. Identify the main forms of gender-based discrimination and violence targeted by SDG 5.

5 lessons · 14m

3.1 Quality Education as an accelerator: literacy, vocational training, and lifelong learning

SDG 4 is frequently described as an accelerator goal because education produces measurable positive effects across nearly every other SDG: each additional year of schooling is associated with higher lifetime earnings (Goal 8), improved maternal and child health outcomes (Goal 3), lower fertility rates, greater civic participation (Goal 16), and, for girls specifically, reduced likelihood of child marriage (Goal 5). Yet SDG 4 targets a wider ambition than school enrolment alone, calling for inclusive, equitable, quality education and lifelong learning opportunities for all. Foundational literacy and numeracy remain unfinished business: UNESCO estimates that a majority of ten-year-olds in low- and middle-income countries experience 'learning poverty' — they cannot read and understand a simple age-appropriate text — even where enrolment rates are high, revealing that access to school is not equivalent to access to learning, a distinction that reframes much of the post-2015 education agenda. Vocational and technical education addresses the transition from schooling to employment, particularly critical in economies with large youth populations and limited formal-sector job creation, though vocational systems succeed only when curricula are responsive to actual labour-market demand rather than static or disconnected from local industry needs. Lifelong learning extends the framework beyond childhood entirely, recognising that automation, climate transition and demographic ageing require adults to reskill repeatedly across a career — a need magnified by the green-jobs and clean-technology transition discussed in Module 5. Financing constraints, teacher shortages and quality gaps between urban and rural or wealthy and poor households remain the primary obstacles to realising this broader vision of education as a genuine cross-cutting accelerator.

3.2 Gender Equality: closing gaps in pay, leadership, unpaid care work, and harmful practices (child marriage, FGM)

SDG 5 addresses gender equality as both a standalone goal and, per its own framing, a precondition for progress across the entire 2030 Agenda. The gender pay gap — the difference between average male and female earnings — persists globally at roughly 20%, driven by occupational segregation, discrimination, and interruptions to women's careers linked to caregiving responsibilities, and narrows only slowly even in high-income countries with strong legal equal-pay protections, indicating that legislation alone is insufficient without enforcement and cultural change. Women's leadership representation remains similarly constrained: despite comprising roughly half the global population, women hold a minority of national parliamentary seats and corporate board positions worldwide, with quotas proving among the most effective, if contested, tools for accelerating representation where voluntary progress has stalled. Unpaid care work — childcare, eldercare, cooking, cleaning and fetching water or fuel — is performed disproportionately by women and girls, and time-use surveys consistently show women perform two to ten times more unpaid care work than men depending on the region, directly limiting women's time available for paid employment, education and civic participation, and is treated by SDG target 5.4 as a distinct policy issue requiring public investment in care infrastructure and services, not merely individual household negotiation. Harmful practices — child, early and forced marriage and female genital mutilation/cutting (FGM) — remain widespread in specific regions despite significant legal prohibitions in most countries, driven by deeply embedded social norms, poverty, and, for child marriage, sometimes viewed by families as economic or protective strategy; UNICEF estimates tens of millions of girls remain at risk of child marriage before 2030 without accelerated intervention, illustrating that legal prohibition alone rarely eliminates practices sustained by strong social and economic incentives.

3.3 Reduced Inequalities: income, social, and political inequality within and among nations

SDG 10 is unusual among the goals for explicitly targeting inequality both within nations and between them, reflecting evidence that high inequality slows poverty reduction, undermines social cohesion and weakens economic growth even when average incomes rise. Within-country income inequality is commonly measured using the Gini coefficient, where 0 represents perfect equality and 1 represents maximal inequality; global trends are mixed, with inequality declining in some middle-income countries even as it has risen within several high-income economies since the 1980s, driven by factors including technological change favouring skilled labour, declining union density, and capital income growing faster than wage income. SDG target 10.1 specifically calls for the income of the bottom 40% of the population to grow faster than the national average, a distinct and more redistribution-oriented framing than simply reducing poverty or growing GDP. Social inequality encompasses unequal access to education, healthcare, housing and opportunity based on group membership rather than individual merit, often self-reinforcing across generations through unequal access to quality schooling and networks. Political inequality concerns unequal ability to influence public decision-making — through voting rights, representation, lobbying power or simple exclusion from civic processes — and interacts with economic inequality, since wealthier or more socially dominant groups typically wield disproportionate political influence, which can then be used to shape policy (tax structures, public spending priorities) in ways that further entrench economic advantage. Between-country inequality, meanwhile, concerns disparities in average income, wealth and opportunity across nations, historically the dominant global inequality story, though even as average incomes have converged somewhat between poorer and richer countries in aggregate, within-country inequality has become an increasingly large share of total global inequality, shifting policy attention toward domestic redistribution and institutional reform alongside international development cooperation.

3.4 Intersectionality: how gender, disability, ethnicity, and income compound disadvantage

Intersectionality, a term coined by legal scholar Kimberlé Crenshaw in 1989, describes how overlapping social identities — gender, disability, ethnicity, income, age, migration status, sexual orientation and others — interact to produce forms of disadvantage that cannot be understood by examining each characteristic in isolation. A single-axis analysis might separately note that women face barriers to employment and that people with disabilities face barriers to employment, but a woman with a disability frequently encounters compounded barriers qualitatively different from, and often more severe than, either factor alone — for example, facing both gender-based hiring discrimination and inaccessible workplace infrastructure simultaneously, alongside social stereotypes specific to disabled women that neither single-axis framework captures. This matters directly for SDG implementation because policies designed around a single disadvantaged category can fail the most marginalised subgroups within it: a gender-equality programme unresponsive to disability access, or a disability-inclusion programme unresponsive to ethnic or income-based discrimination, will systematically underserve those at the intersection. The 'Leave No One Behind' principle introduced in Module 1 depends on intersectional, disaggregated data for exactly this reason — national averages for 'women' or 'people with disabilities' as separate categories obscure the specific populations facing compounded exclusion, such as indigenous women with disabilities in rural areas, who may experience outcomes far worse than either identity group's average suggests. Applying an intersectional lens to SDG monitoring and programme design requires disaggregating data across multiple characteristics simultaneously wherever possible, involving affected communities directly in programme design rather than assuming their needs mirror a broader category's average experience, and building institutional capacity to identify compounded barriers that standard single-issue departments or ministries are often not structured to see.

3.5 Hands-On Activity

Learners conduct a short equity audit of a school, workplace, or public policy they have access to. 1. Select an institution (school, employer, or a public policy document). 2. Assess it against 4 criteria: access, participation, leadership representation, and safety/non-discrimination. 3. Score each criterion 1-5 and justify the score with evidence or observation. 4. Write 3 specific, actionable recommendations linked to SDG 4, 5, or 10 targets. Deliverable: 1-page equity audit scorecard with recommendations Discussion Prompt: Post a 100-150 word summary of your activity to the Module 3 discussion forum and give constructive feedback to at least one peer. Criterion — Points — What Full Marks Look Like Understanding of SDG content — 5 — Accurately applies concepts and terminology from the module. Real-world application — 5 — Connects the activity to a genuine, specific local/real context. Critical analysis — 5 — Shows evidence of reasoning, not just description; identifies trade-offs or barriers. Clarity & completeness — 5 — Follows the required format/length; well organised and clearly written. Total: 20 points. Pass mark: 14/20 (70%). Instructor/peer feedback must include at least one strength and one specific improvement suggestion for every submission - never a bare numeric score alone.

Module 4: Powering Progress: Water, Energy, Decent Work, and Infrastructure (SDGs 6, 7, 8 & 9)

By the end of this module, learners will be able to: Explain the linkages between access to clean water/sanitation, energy, and economic opportunity. Describe what constitutes 'decent work' under SDG 8, beyond simply having a job.

5 lessons · 16m

4.1 Clean Water and Sanitation: access, quality, and management of water resources (WASH)

SDG 6 commits the international community to ensuring the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all by 2030. The goal extends well beyond taps and toilets: it encompasses the full WASH triad — Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene — as well as water-quality protection, wastewater treatment, water-use efficiency, integrated water resources management (IWRM), and the protection of freshwater ecosystems. Despite decades of progress, billions of people remain underserved. Current global monitoring shows that roughly 2.2 billion people still lack safely managed drinking water, more than 3.5 billion lack safely managed sanitation, and around 2 billion lack basic hygiene facilities such as handwashing stations with soap and water. Only a little over half of household wastewater worldwide is safely treated, and untreated effluent continues to degrade rivers, aquifers, and coastal ecosystems. Access to WASH is not merely a technical or infrastructural issue; it is deeply intertwined with public health, gender equality, and economic productivity. Poor sanitation drives the transmission of diarrhoeal disease, intestinal parasites, and neglected tropical diseases, and disproportionately burdens women and girls, who are typically responsible for water collection and who lose school and income time in the absence of safe, private facilities. Economic analyses have shown that every dollar invested in sanitation returns several dollars in health savings, productivity gains, and reduced premature mortality. Climate change compounds these challenges: intensifying droughts, floods, and shifting rainfall patterns are increasing water stress across many regions, while transboundary water governance grows more complex as demand rises for agriculture, industry, and energy production. Achieving SDG 6 therefore requires simultaneous investment in physical infrastructure, strong regulatory and institutional frameworks for integrated water resources management, community-level behaviour change, and international cooperation — themes that will be explored throughout this lesson, including through the lens of the 2026 UN Water Conference and ongoing global monitoring by the WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme.

4.2 Affordable and Clean Energy: the renewable energy transition and universal energy access

SDG 7 calls for ensuring access to affordable, reliable, sustainable, and modern energy for all by 2030, anchored in three core targets: universal access to electricity and clean cooking, a substantially increased share of renewable energy in the global mix, and a doubling of the global rate of energy-efficiency improvement. Energy underpins virtually every other development goal — from powering health clinics and schools to enabling industrialisation and digital inclusion — yet access remains starkly unequal. Recent joint monitoring by the custodian agencies (IEA, IRENA, UN Statistics Division, World Bank, and WHO) finds that several hundred million people, concentrated mainly in Sub-Saharan Africa, still lack access to electricity, and well over two billion people continue to rely on polluting fuels such as wood, charcoal, or kerosene for cooking, with serious consequences for indoor air quality and respiratory health. The renewable energy transition has nonetheless accelerated markedly. Installed renewable generation capacity per capita has reached record highs, and falling costs for solar photovoltaic and wind technologies have made renewables the most cost-competitive source of new electricity generation in most markets. However, the pace of deployment remains insufficient to meet the roughly 1.5°C-aligned pathway, which requires renewables to supply well over a third of total final energy consumption and the majority of global power generation by 2030. Key bottlenecks include inadequate grid infrastructure, insufficient energy-storage capacity to manage variable renewable output, and a persistent shortfall in international public and private finance flowing to developing countries — flows that remain below their 2016 peak despite recent increases. Bridging the energy-access gap in the least-developed and land-locked countries will require decentralised solutions such as mini-grids and solar home systems alongside continued grid expansion, supportive policy and regulatory frameworks, and blended finance mechanisms that de-risk private investment in emerging markets.

4.3 Decent Work and Economic Growth: informal labour, youth employment, and inclusive growth

SDG 8 seeks to promote sustained, inclusive, and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment, and decent work for all. It sets an ambitious benchmark of at least 7% annual GDP growth in the least-developed countries, alongside targets on labour productivity, financial inclusion, safe working environments, and the eradication of forced labour, human trafficking, and the worst forms of child labour by 2025. The goal recognises that growth alone is insufficient: development must translate into fair wages, workplace security, social protection, and opportunities for personal advancement. Global monitoring shows persistent structural challenges. According to the International Labour Organization, roughly 60% of the global workforce — around two billion people — work in the informal economy without legal protection, social security, or stable income, with informality particularly acute in Sub-Saharan Africa and least-developed countries, where it affects close to nine in ten workers. Youth employment remains one of SDG 8's most stubborn deficits. Global youth unemployment runs nearly three to four times higher than the adult rate, and many young people who are employed remain trapped in informal, insecure, or underemployed positions, undermining the demographic dividend that youthful populations could otherwise generate. Gender gaps compound these dynamics: women continue to earn substantially less than men for comparable work and face greater barriers to formal labour-market participation. Achieving inclusive growth requires a coordinated policy response — expanding access to finance and skills training for young people and entrepreneurs, extending social protection to informal and non-standard workers, strengthening labour rights and collective bargaining, and decoupling economic growth from environmental degradation so that job creation does not come at the cost of ecological sustainability. These interlinkages make SDG 8 a hinge goal, connecting poverty reduction, gender equality, and industrial policy across the wider 2030 Agenda.

4.4 Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure: resilient infrastructure and appropriate technology for development

SDG 9 calls for building resilient infrastructure, promoting inclusive and sustainable industrialisation, and fostering innovation. Its targets span quality transport, energy, and digital infrastructure; raising industry's share of employment and GDP, particularly in least-developed countries; expanding small-scale enterprises' access to finance and value chains; upgrading industry toward resource efficiency and cleaner technologies; and boosting research, development, and universal, affordable internet access. Infrastructure is often described as the connective tissue of the SDGs: reliable roads, electricity, water systems, and telecommunications determine whether people can reach healthcare, schooling, and markets. Globally, roughly one billion people still live more than two kilometres from an all-season road, limiting access to essential services, while road transport itself is a significant and growing contributor to carbon emissions — illustrating the tension between expanding infrastructure and decarbonising it. Global progress under SDG 9 has been uneven. Manufacturing and trade have rebounded from pandemic-era disruptions, and clean-energy deployment is helping to curb industrial emissions, yet ongoing supply-chain disruptions, trade tensions, and geopolitical instability continue to raise costs and slow industrial diversification, particularly in developing economies. Research and development spending as a share of GDP has risen globally but remains below 1% in many developing regions, constraining technological catch-up. Digital infrastructure has expanded rapidly — most of the world's population now lives within reach of a mobile network — yet meaningful, affordable internet access remains elusive for many least-developed countries, reinforcing global inequalities in innovation capacity. 'Appropriate technology' — solutions calibrated to local resources, skills, and needs rather than imported wholesale from industrialised economies — has emerged as a guiding principle for infrastructure investment in low-income settings, alongside climate-resilient design standards that anticipate more frequent floods, storms, and heat extremes. Achieving SDG 9 therefore requires blending large-scale infrastructure finance with locally adapted innovation ecosystems and stronger technical support to the most infrastructure-poor regions.

4.5 Hands-On Activity

Learners draft a one-page micro-project proposal that improves water, energy, work, or infrastructure access in a community they know. 1. Identify a specific, real infrastructure/economic gap in a community (e.g., unreliable water supply, lack of electricity, youth unemployment). 2. State the problem in one sentence and link it to the relevant SDG target(s). 3. List 3 concrete activities, the resources needed, and who would need to be involved. 4. Define 2 measurable success indicators (e.g., '% of households with reliable water access'). Deliverable: 1-page micro-project proposal Discussion Prompt: Post a 100-150 word summary of your activity to the Module 4 discussion forum and give constructive feedback to at least one peer.

Module 5: Living Within Planetary Limits: Sustainable Cities, Responsible Consumption, and Climate Action (SDGs 11, 12 & 13)

By the end of this module, learners will be able to: Describe the characteristics of an inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable city. Distinguish between linear and circular models of consumption and production.

5 lessons · 17m

5.1 Sustainable Cities and Communities: urbanisation, housing, transport, and public space

SDG 11 commits the international community to making cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable. This mandate has never been more urgent: more than half of the world's population, over 4 billion people, now lives in urban areas, a share projected to reach roughly two-thirds by 2050. The goal spans ten interlinked targets — from adequate and affordable housing and slum upgrading, to safe and accessible public transport, inclusive urban planning, protection of cultural and natural heritage, disaster risk reduction, and universal access to green and public space. Current global monitoring shows the scale of the challenge: around 1.1 billion people live in slums or slum-like conditions, a number that could rise by a further 2 billion by mid-century without intervention, while cities have expanded physically up to 3.7 times faster than their populations have densified between 2000 and 2020, driving costly and inefficient urban sprawl. Transport and public space remain particularly uneven. Only around half of the world's urban population has convenient access to public transport, and open public space accounts for a strikingly small share of urban land in many cities — often several times less than the land devoted to streets. UN-Habitat's most recent global reporting places housing at the centre of the SDG 11 agenda, arguing that affordable, adequate housing is foundational to progress on transport, environmental quality, disaster resilience, and public space alike. Climate change and conflict increasingly compound these urban pressures, disproportionately affecting cities and settlements least equipped to absorb shocks. Achieving SDG 11 requires integrated urban planning that links housing, mobility, and green infrastructure; strengthened municipal capacity and financing, especially in rapidly growing secondary cities; and inclusive governance that gives urban poor communities, including informal settlement residents, a genuine voice in how their cities develop.

5.2 Responsible Consumption and Production: circular economy, food waste, and sustainable supply chains

SDG 12 calls for ensuring sustainable consumption and production patterns, recognising that current global material use is on a trajectory incompatible with planetary boundaries. Should the world population reach roughly 9.6 billion by 2050, sustaining today's lifestyles globally would require the equivalent of almost three planets' worth of natural resources. The goal's targets span implementation of sustainable consumption frameworks, efficient natural resource management, halving per-capita food waste, environmentally sound management of chemicals and waste, substantial waste reduction through the circular economy, and encouraging companies — especially large and transnational firms — to adopt sustainable practices and transparent sustainability reporting. Food waste illustrates the scale of the challenge: in 2022 an estimated 1.05 billion metric tonnes of food were wasted globally, roughly one billion meals' worth every single day, even as hundreds of millions of people face hunger, and food waste alone is estimated to generate 8 to 10 percent of global greenhouse gas emissions. The circular economy has emerged as SDG 12's central organising concept: rather than the traditional linear 'take-make-dispose' model, circularity designs products to be durable, repairable, reusable, and recyclable, keeping materials in productive use for as long as possible. Progress remains uneven — only about 22% of global electronic waste is collected and managed sustainably, despite rapid growth in e-waste volumes, and global material footprint continues to expand even as growth rates slow. Supply-chain sustainability is increasingly central to corporate and regulatory agendas, particularly in high-impact sectors such as fashion and textiles, where production is resource- and water-intensive, and food systems, where post-harvest losses and retail-level waste both require targeted intervention. The UN's 10-Year Framework of Programmes on Sustainable Consumption and Production supports countries in building the policy instruments, data systems, and public-procurement standards needed to decouple economic growth from resource extraction and environmental degradation — a decoupling that remains one of the most significant unmet challenges of the 2030 Agenda.

5.3 Climate Action: mitigation vs. adaptation; the science-policy link (Paris Agreement)

SDG 13 calls for urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts, explicitly recognising the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) as the primary international, intergovernmental forum for negotiating the global response. Climate action is generally organised around two complementary strategies: mitigation, which means reducing or stabilising greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere by cutting emissions at their source — through cleaner energy, transport, and industry — or by enhancing carbon sinks such as forests; and adaptation, which means adjusting human and natural systems to reduce vulnerability to climate impacts that are already unavoidable, such as sea-level rise, intensified storms, and food insecurity. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) provides the scientific foundation linking both strategies to policy, most authoritatively through its Sixth Assessment Report, which confirms that human activities have already warmed the planet by roughly 1.1°C above pre-industrial levels and that an estimated 3.3 to 3.6 billion people live in contexts highly vulnerable to climate change. The Paris Agreement, which entered into force in November 2016 as a legally binding treaty among 194 Parties, operationalises SDG 13's ambition, aiming to hold global temperature rise well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels while pursuing efforts to limit warming to 1.5°C. Its central mechanism is the system of Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) — country-level climate action plans that must be resubmitted with increasing ambition every five years, functioning simultaneously as climate commitments and as sustainable development strategies. The IPCC's assessments make clear that to remain on a 1.5°C-consistent pathway, global emissions must already be falling and need to be cut by roughly half by 2030, requiring deep and rapid transformation across every economic sector rather than incremental adjustment. This tight timeline illustrates the essential science-policy link at the heart of SDG 13: IPCC assessments establish the physical carbon budget and risk thresholds, while the UNFCCC and Paris Agreement translate that science into negotiated, nationally differentiated commitments on mitigation, adaptation, and climate finance — with particular attention to the needs of least-developed countries and small island developing states, which contribute least to emissions yet face the most severe impacts.

5.4 Case study: how climate change intersects with poverty, migration, and conflict

Climate change rarely acts as an isolated driver of harm; instead, it interacts with and intensifies pre-existing vulnerabilities related to poverty, weak governance, and violent conflict, producing what analysts increasingly describe as a compounding crisis rather than three separate problems. The evidence is stark. Of more than 120 million people forcibly displaced worldwide, roughly three-quarters live in countries heavily exposed to climate-related hazards, and about half are in places affected by both conflict and serious climate risk simultaneously, including Ethiopia, Haiti, Myanmar, Somalia, Sudan, and Syria. Over the past decade, weather-related disasters have caused an estimated 250 million internal displacements — equivalent to roughly 70,000 displacements every single day. This overlap is not coincidental: climate shocks erode agricultural livelihoods and access to water, pushing already poor and marginalised populations toward migration or, in fragile settings with weak state capacity, toward recruitment by armed groups offering income where legitimate livelihoods have collapsed, as documented in parts of the Sahel. This nexus creates a self-reinforcing cycle of vulnerability. Refugee and displacement settlements are disproportionately located in areas of severe ecological stress — with more than half of such settlements in Africa situated on degrading land — meaning displaced populations face compounding exposure to both the original crisis that displaced them and worsening environmental conditions in their place of refuge. Climate change is frequently described by security and development analysts as a 'threat multiplier': it does not usually cause conflict directly, but it intensifies competition over scarce resources such as water and arable land, undermines livelihoods, and strains governance capacity in contexts already marked by inequality or instability, thereby raising the risk of unrest. Crucially, the populations least responsible for historical greenhouse gas emissions — the rural poor in low-income, conflict-affected states — bear the heaviest costs, while receiving a small fraction of global climate finance; fragile, conflict-affected countries hosting refugees receive only a fraction of the climate adaptation finance they require. Addressing this nexus demands integrated policy responses that combine humanitarian assistance, climate adaptation finance, and conflict-sensitive development programming rather than treating climate, poverty, migration, and security as separate policy silos.

5.5 Hands-On Activity

Learners complete a short footprint self-assessment (energy, waste, water, transport) and draft a 30-day action plan. 1. Complete the Footprint Audit Worksheet (Course Reader, Worksheet 5) covering energy use, waste generation, water use, and transport habits. 2. Identify your 3 highest-impact behaviours. 3. Draft a realistic 30-day action plan with at least 5 specific changes. 4. Reflect (150 words) on one systemic barrier (cost, infrastructure, policy) that makes sustainable choices harder in your context, and one policy fix that would help. Deliverable: Completed worksheet + 30-day action plan + reflection Discussion Prompt: Post a 100-150 word summary of your activity to the Module 5 discussion forum and give constructive feedback to at least one peer.

Module 6: Protecting People and Planet: Life Below Water, Life on Land, and Peace, Justice & Strong Institutions (SDGs 14, 15 & 16)

By the end of this module, learners will be able to: Identify the main threats to marine and terrestrial ecosystems and their links to human livelihoods. Explain how deforestation, desertification, and biodiversity loss undermine sustainable development.

5 lessons · 17m

6.1 Life Below Water: overfishing, pollution, ocean acidification, and coastal livelihoods

SDG 14 calls for the conservation and sustainable use of oceans, seas, and marine resources, recognising that oceans cover over 70% of the planet and that more than three billion people depend on marine and coastal biodiversity for their livelihoods, with ocean-related economic activity valued at well over a trillion dollars annually. Yet global monitoring paints an alarming picture: roughly 30% of the world's assessed fish stocks are now overexploited, pushed below the level needed to produce a sustainable yield, and illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing continues to undermine science-based management efforts in many regions. Marine pollution compounds the crisis — the overwhelming majority of it originating from land-based sources — with an average of around 13,000 pieces of plastic litter estimated per square kilometre of ocean, alongside nutrient runoff that drives coastal eutrophication and dead zones. Ocean acidification represents a slower but equally severe threat: oceans absorb roughly 30% of human-generated carbon dioxide, and ocean acidity has risen by approximately 26% since the start of the industrial era, threatening coral reefs, shellfish, and the wider marine food web on which coastal fishing communities depend. Coastal and marine ecosystems — mangroves, seagrass beds, and coral reefs — provide not only food and income but also natural coastal protection against storms and erosion, making their degradation a direct threat to community resilience, particularly in small island developing states and low-income coastal nations. Achieving SDG 14 requires effectively regulating and ending overfishing through science-based quotas and eliminating harmful fisheries subsidies; expanding well-managed marine protected areas; reducing land-based sources of marine pollution, including plastics and agricultural runoff; and strengthening international scientific cooperation to monitor and respond to acidification — an issue no single country can solve unilaterally given the interconnected nature of ocean systems.

6.2 Life on Land: deforestation, desertification, land degradation, and biodiversity conservation

SDG 15 seeks to protect, restore, and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, halt and reverse land degradation, and halt biodiversity loss. Forests, which cover roughly 31% of the Earth's land surface, provide livelihoods, carbon sequestration, and habitat for the majority of terrestrial species, yet the world lost approximately 100 million hectares of forest cover between 2000 and 2020. Agricultural expansion drives almost 90% of global deforestation, with commodities such as palm oil, soy, and cattle ranching among the leading direct causes — palm oil alone accounted for around 7% of global deforestation between 2000 and 2018. Land degradation is accelerating in parallel: between 2015 and 2019, the global share of degraded land rose from roughly 11% to over 15%, undermining the well-being of an estimated 1.3 to 3.2 billion people who depend directly on the land for food, water, and income. Biodiversity loss compounds these pressures. Scientists estimate that around one million animal and plant species — roughly a quarter of all assessed species — face the threat of extinction, many within decades, driven by habitat loss, pollution, overexploitation, and climate change. Perversely, direct public subsidies for economically damaging land-use practices are estimated at around 1.7 trillion dollars annually, dwarfing the finance directed toward conservation and restoration. The UN Convention to Combat Desertification estimates that addressing desertification, land degradation, and drought requires roughly one billion dollars in investment per day through 2030, yet current annual funding covers less than a fifth of that need — even though every dollar invested in land restoration is estimated to generate seven to thirty dollars in economic and ecological returns. Achieving SDG 15 therefore requires scaling up land restoration and reforestation, strengthening protected-area networks and their legal enforcement, redirecting harmful subsidies toward sustainable land management, and embedding land degradation neutrality targets into national development planning, particularly across dryland and forest-frontier regions.

6.3 Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions: rule of law, anti-corruption, and inclusive governance

SDG 16 calls for promoting peaceful and inclusive societies, providing access to justice for all, and building effective, accountable, and inclusive institutions at every level. Unlike many SDGs focused on physical or economic outcomes, SDG 16 addresses the governance foundations on which all other sustainable development depends — because progress on poverty, health, or the environment is difficult to sustain where violence, weak rule of law, or unaccountable institutions prevail. Its targets span reducing all forms of violence and related death rates; ending abuse, exploitation, and trafficking of children; promoting the rule of law and equal access to justice; significantly reducing illicit financial and arms flows and corruption in all its forms; developing effective, transparent, and accountable institutions; ensuring inclusive and participatory decision-making; and guaranteeing legal identity for all, including birth registration. The scale of the challenge is significant: roughly one-quarter of humanity lives in conflict-affected areas, and forced displacement worldwide has more than doubled over the past decade to well over 100 million people. Corruption and weak institutional accountability remain pervasive obstacles. Surveys indicate that roughly one in six businesses globally face requests for bribes from public officials, undermining fair competition and diverting public resources away from essential services. Citizens in many countries also face substantial barriers to justice, including high rates of pretrial detention and limited access to legal recourse, disproportionately affecting the poor and marginalised. At the same time, meaningful progress is documented in specific areas: international cooperation has improved tracing and destruction of illicit firearms, and a growing number of countries have strengthened legal identity systems and access-to-information laws. SDG 16 is also distinctive for its emphasis on inclusive participation — ensuring that decision-making processes reflect the voices of women, minorities, and marginalised groups — recognising that institutions perceived as exclusionary or unaccountable are themselves a source of instability. Because SDG 16 functions as an enabling goal for the entire 2030 Agenda, strengthening rule of law, transparency, and inclusive governance is treated by the UN as both an end in itself and a precondition for achieving sustainable development more broadly.

6.4 Case study: environmental governance and conflict prevention in resource-dependent regions

Natural resources are essential for sustainable development, yet in fragile or poorly governed contexts they increasingly act as drivers of tension, fragility, and violence rather than shared prosperity. As global population and resource demand continue to rise and climate change intensifies pressure on land, water, and arable resources, competition over these assets is set to sharpen further. In the aftermath of violent conflict, natural resources such as land, timber, minerals, oil, and gas are frequently the primary assets available to governments and communities alike, meaning how these resources are managed can fundamentally shape whether peace holds or collapses back into conflict. Environmental peacebuilding — the integration of natural resource management into conflict prevention, mitigation, resolution, and post-conflict recovery — has emerged as a recognised field of practice, led in large part by UNEP's Environmental Cooperation for Peacebuilding programme, which has synthesised field evidence from numerous post-conflict settings into practical guidance for governments and the UN system. This body of evidence highlights several recurring lessons at the intersection of SDG 15, SDG 16, and broader conflict-prevention agendas. First, effective early warning systems that track environmental and resource-related conflict risk factors — such as land disputes, extractive-sector revenue mismanagement, or competition over grazing and water access — allow the international community to intervene before tensions escalate into violence; the UN has explicitly identified good natural resource governance as a form of investment in conflict prevention. Second, the equitable and transparent management of extractive-sector revenues is critical: where oil, gas, or mineral wealth is captured by narrow elites or armed actors rather than shared broadly, it tends to entrench grievance and fund continued conflict, whereas transparent revenue-sharing mechanisms can instead underpin post-conflict recovery. Third, research on cases including Sierra Leone, Liberia, and East Timor shows that peacebuilding processes which sideline land ownership, environmental protection, and community livelihoods in favour of narrowly securitising 'conflict resources' risk allowing pre-conflict patterns of corruption and exclusion to re-emerge. Strengthening SDG 16 institutions — transparent, accountable, and participatory governance of land and resources — is therefore not a peripheral add-on to environmental policy but a central pillar of durable peace in resource-dependent regions.

6.5 Hands-On Activity

Learners select one local environmental issue (e.g., illegal logging, plastic pollution, land disputes) or one governance issue (e.g., corruption, weak local justice access) and build a stakeholder map. 1. Describe the issue in 2-3 sentences and state which SDG(s) it relates to. 2. List all stakeholders involved (affected communities, government, private sector, civil society) and their interests. 3. Identify 1 point of conflict between stakeholders and 1 opportunity for collaboration. 4. Propose one governance or conservation action that could improve the situation. Deliverable: 1-page stakeholder map with narrative Discussion Prompt: Post a 100-150 word summary of your activity to the Module 6 discussion forum and give constructive feedback to at least one peer.

Module 7: Working Together: Partnerships for the Goals, Localizing the SDGs, and Capstone Project (SDG

17 + Integration)

By the end of this module, learners will be able to: Explain why SDG 17 (partnerships) is considered a foundational enabler for all other goals. Describe the roles of government, private sector, civil society, academia, and individuals in SDG implementation.

7 lessons · 25m

7.1 Why Partnerships Matter: finance, technology, capacity-building, trade, and data as means of implementation

SDG 17 commits the international community to strengthening the means of implementation and revitalising the global partnership for sustainable development, functioning as the connective goal that makes progress on all other 16 SDGs possible. Its 19 targets are organised around five pillars: finance, technology, capacity-building, trade, and systemic issues such as policy coherence, multi-stakeholder partnerships, and data. The scale of the financing challenge is immense — the UN Conference on Trade and Development has estimated that implementing the SDGs could cost up to 175 trillion dollars over 15 years, while developing countries alone face an annual investment gap of roughly 4 trillion dollars. Meeting this gap requires more than traditional official development assistance: it demands domestic resource mobilisation through improved tax collection, blended public-private finance, debt relief for heavily indebted countries, and fulfilment of long-standing developed-country commitments, including the target of directing 0.7% of gross national income to development assistance. Beyond finance, SDG 17 recognises that technology transfer, capacity-building, and data are equally indispensable means of implementation. The Technology Bank for Least Developed Countries and enhanced access to information and communications technology aim to close the digital divide that otherwise locks poorer countries out of innovation-driven growth, while North-South, South-South, and triangular cooperation channels are designed to build the institutional and technical capacity countries need to design and deliver their own national SDG plans. A fair, open, and rules-based multilateral trading system under the World Trade Organization, alongside duty-free market access for least-developed-country exports, is treated as essential to enabling developing economies to grow their way toward sustainability rather than remaining dependent on aid. Finally, SDG 17 emphasises that high-quality, disaggregated data — broken down by income, gender, age, disability, and geography — is a foundational public good, since governments and partners cannot manage what they cannot measure. Together, these pillars underscore that no single government, sector, or country can deliver the 2030 Agenda alone; achieving it requires effective public, public-private, and civil-society partnerships operating at every level, from global institutions down to individual communities.

7.2 Localizing the SDGs: adapting global targets to national and community realities

SDG localization is the process of adapting and customising the Sustainable Development Goals, and translating them into local development plans and strategies that fit the needs, context, and priorities of a specific region or community, while remaining coherent with national frameworks. Localization places local communities at the centre of sustainable development, anchoring action in the principles of subsidiarity, inclusion, partnership, and multilevel governance, backed by adequate local data and financing. This matters because global targets are, by design, generic: a target on safely managed drinking water or slum upgrading means something very different in a rural highland community than in a dense coastal megacity, and only local and regional governments, working with residents, are positioned to translate abstract global indicators into locally meaningful, implementable priorities. The Global Taskforce of Local and Regional Governments, together with UNDP and UN-Habitat, developed the Roadmap for Localizing the SDGs specifically to guide this translation process at the subnational level. The primary accountability mechanism for localization is the Voluntary Local Review (VLR) — and its regional counterpart, the Voluntary Subnational Review (VSR) — through which cities and regional governments self-assess and report on their own SDG progress, complementing the national Voluntary National Reviews (VNRs) that countries present to the UN High-Level Political Forum. Since the first VLRs were produced by New York City and three Japanese municipalities in 2018, the practice has spread to dozens of cities and regions across every continent, supported by joint guidance from UN-Habitat and United Cities and Local Governments (UCLG). Evidence from this growing body of VLRs shows that localization does more than improve reporting: it increases transparency and institutional accountability, strengthens dialogue between local and national levels of government, and often revitalises decentralisation processes and unlocks additional financing for local governments. Effective localization ultimately requires four interlocking elements: adapting targets and indicators to reflect local conditions and available data; embedding those targets in local planning, budgeting, and legal instruments; building local institutional and technical capacity to implement and monitor them; and ensuring genuine participation by residents, especially marginalised and vulnerable groups, in defining local priorities.

7.3 From Plan to Action: problem definition, theory of change, stakeholders, and indicators

Turning an ambition to 'contribute to the SDGs' into a credible project requires a disciplined planning sequence, beginning with rigorous problem definition. A well-defined problem is specific, evidenced, and framed around root causes rather than symptoms — for example, reframing 'poor school attendance' as a problem rooted in unsafe transport routes and unaffordable school-related costs, rather than treating it as a simple matter of insufficient motivation. From a clearly defined problem, planners construct a theory of change: an explicit, evidence-based narrative and diagram explaining how a set of activities is expected to lead, through a logical chain of outputs and outcomes, to a longer-term impact. UNDP describes theory of change as the difference between hoping for change and actually engineering it — it forces planners to state their assumptions about how and why change will happen, identify the risks and preconditions that could derail that chain, and validate the resulting logic against evidence and stakeholder perspectives rather than intuition alone. Stakeholder analysis is conducted in parallel, systematically identifying everyone directly or indirectly affected by, or able to influence, a project's outcomes — commonly mapped by their level of interest and level of influence to decide how each group should be engaged, informed, or consulted throughout design and implementation. Meaningful stakeholder engagement is not a one-off consultation but an ongoing process spanning analysis, disclosure of information, genuine participation in decision-making, grievance handling, and inclusion in monitoring, with particular attention to ensuring marginalised and vulnerable groups are not excluded by default. Finally, indicators translate the theory of change into something measurable: each level of the results chain — outputs, outcomes, and impact — needs indicators that meet SMART criteria (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound), combining quantitative metrics with qualitative measures that capture stakeholders' own perspectives on change. Crucially, indicators should be selected before implementation begins, so that baseline data can be collected and progress can be honestly tracked against the theory of change rather than reconstructed retrospectively to justify results already achieved.

7.4 Capstone Project Studio: Developing, Refining, and Presenting Your SDG Action Plan

The Capstone Project Studio is the culminating, applied component of the course, in which each learner or team designs a complete SDG Action Plan for a real or realistic local context, drawing directly on the concepts developed across all seven modules. The studio unfolds in three stages. In the development stage, learners select a specific, well-evidenced problem tied to one or more SDGs, define the geographic and demographic scope of their intervention, and draft an initial theory of change identifying the target population, the proposed activities, and the intended outcomes and impact. This stage deliberately mirrors the localization principles from Lesson 7.2: rather than proposing a generic, globally worded intervention, learners are expected to ground their plan in the specific institutional, cultural, and resource realities of a named place, using local data wherever it is available and flagging clearly where it is not. In the refinement stage, learners pressure-test their draft plans against structured peer and instructor feedback, focusing on the same questions a real donor, government partner, or community board would ask: Is the problem definition evidenced rather than assumed? Does the theory of change hold together logically, with reasonable assumptions and identified risks? Has the stakeholder map genuinely included those most affected, not just the most powerful? Are the proposed indicators SMART, measurable with realistic data sources, and linked explicitly to relevant SDG targets? Plans are revised iteratively based on this feedback, typically strengthening the evidence base, tightening the theory of change, and adding a basic implementation timeline, budget outline, and risk register. In the final presentation stage, learners deliver a concise, structured pitch of their completed SDG Action Plan — problem, theory of change, stakeholders, indicators, and means of implementation — to a panel of peers or invited practitioners, simulating the kind of proposal defence used by real development funders and local government partnership boards. The studio closes the course by requiring learners to demonstrate, in an integrated deliverable, that they can move credibly from global SDG frameworks to a concrete, locally grounded, and measurable plan for action.

7.5 System-Level Marking Rules (for LMS configuration)

Each quiz question is single-best-answer multiple choice, worth exactly 1 point; total 10 points per quiz. Quizzes auto-submit a numeric score plus the feedback band text shown in each module (Section on Quiz Feedback Bands). Learners get up to 2 quiz attempts; the system records the higher of the two scores. Activities are graded out of 20 using the 4-criterion rubric (5 points each) provided in every module; graders must enter both a score and at least one line of written feedback per criterion. The Capstone Project is graded out of 100 using the rubric in Section 5.3 and requires a minimum of 70/100 to pass the course. Overall course pass mark: 70% weighted average AND a passing Capstone score, in line with global online-course quality norms. Feedback is never delivered as a bare score: every graded item returns a score plus at least one sentence of specific, constructive written feedback.

7.6 Capstone Project Rubric (SDG Action Plan) - 100 points

Criterion — Points — Description Problem definition & SDG alignment — 20 — Clearly defines a real, specific problem and correctly links it to 2-4 relevant SDGs and targets. Theory of change / logic — 20 — Presents a coherent activities → outputs → outcomes → impact pathway. Stakeholders & partnerships (SDG 17) — 20 — Identifies at least 3 stakeholder groups and a realistic partnership/engagement approach. Feasibility & implementation plan — 20 — Activities, timeline, and resources are realistic and achievable within 3-6 months. Indicators, monitoring & communication — 20 — Includes 3+ SMART indicators, a simple monitoring plan, and a clearly communicated presentation/report. Pass mark: 70/100. Grading bands: 90-100 Distinction, 80-89 Excellent, 70-79 Pass, below 70 Resubmit with instructor feedback (one resubmission permitted). Every learner has access to a personal dashboard showing: Modules and lessons completed (with a visual progress bar, 0-100%). Quiz scores per module, with the specific questions answered incorrectly flagged for review. Activity and Capstone submission status (Not Started / Submitted / Graded / Feedback Available). Overall course completion percentage and running weighted grade. Remaining activities and estimated time to completion. Achievement badges: a digital badge is awarded for each module passed ($\geq 70\%$), plus a special ‘SDG Changemaker’ badge on Capstone completion. Credential — Requirement Module Micro-Badge ($\times 7$) — Pass the module quiz ($\geq 70\%$) and submit the module activity. Certificate of Completion — Achieve an overall weighted score of $\geq 70\%$ across quizzes, activities, and participation, and submit the Capstone Project. Certificate with Distinction — Achieve an overall weighted score of $\geq 85\%$ AND a Capstone score of $\geq 85/100$. SDG Changemaker Micro-Credential — Awarded for an outstanding, genuinely implemented Capstone Project (instructor-nominated), verifiable via a digital badge (e.g., Open Badges/Credly-compatible) that can be shared on LinkedIn and CVs. All certificates include a unique verification ID and QR code linking to an online verification page, so employers and institutions can confirm authenticity. To maintain world-class quality, the course is reviewed on a continuous cycle using: Completion rates per module, to identify where learners disengage. Assessment performance analytics (which quiz questions are most-often missed, flagging unclear content). Learner satisfaction surveys after each module (1 short 3-question pulse survey) and a full end-of-course evaluation. Engagement data (time-on-page, video watch-through rate, forum activity). Drop-off point analysis to identify and redesign the weakest lesson or activity each quarter. An annual content refresh cycle to keep statistics, case studies, and links current with the latest SDG Progress Reports.

7.7 Hands-On Activity

The culminating, graded project of the course. Learners design a realistic SDG-aligned action plan for their own community, workplace, or organisation, integrating knowledge from all 7 modules. 1. Select a real problem in your community/organisation and map it to 2-4 relevant SDGs. 2. Write a short Theory of Change: problem → activities → outputs → outcomes → impact. 3. Identify at least 3 stakeholder groups and describe a partnership approach (SDG 17) to involve them. 4. Define a budget-light implementation plan (activities, timeline, resources) achievable within 3-6 months. 5. Define at least 3 SMART indicators and a simple monitoring plan. 6. Prepare a 5-8 slide presentation OR a 3-page written report, and present/submit it for peer and instructor review. Deliverable: 5-8 slide presentation or 3-page written report, plus a 3-minute recorded pitch (optional but recommended) Discussion Prompt: Post a 100-150 word summary of your activity to the Module 7 discussion forum and give constructive feedback to at least one peer.

Final Assessment & Certificate

The final test draws on questions from every module. The pass mark is 80%. When you pass, Tapi Learn issues a certificate of completion bearing your name, the course title, your final score, a unique certificate number and the signature of the CEO of the Pan African Network for Climate Action (PANFCA). Each certificate carries a verification URL (acla.io/verify/<number>) so employers and partners can confirm its authenticity. You can download the certificate as a PDF directly from the app at any time, and your progress and certificates are backed up to the cloud under your email address.

The Africa Climate Leadership Academy (ACLA) is a pan-African education initiative of the Pan African Network for Climate Action. All Tapi Learn courses are free. Learn more at <https://acla.io> and get the app at <https://acla.io/tapi-learn>.