

ACLA COURSE STUDY GUIDE

# Multilateral Diplomacy & UN<br>Negotiation

How UN negotiations really work — rules of procedure, coalitions, resolution drafting and breaking deadlock.

**Amb. Susan Kilonzo** · Intermediate

8 modules · 32 lessons · 10 weeks

|                                                                                 |           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>About This Course</b>                                                        | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>Module 1: Foundations of Multilateral Diplomacy</b>                          | <b>4</b>  |
| 1.1 Multilateral Diplomacy Fundamentals . . . . .                               | 4         |
| 1.2 Categories of International Actors . . . . .                                | 6         |
| 1.3 Positions vs Interests in Negotiation . . . . .                             | 6         |
| 1.4 Analyzing UN Documentation . . . . .                                        | 6         |
| <b>Module 2: The UN System and Negotiation Architecture</b>                     | <b>6</b>  |
| 2.1 UN Negotiation Venues . . . . .                                             | 7         |
| 2.2 Procedural Rules Strategy . . . . .                                         | 7         |
| 2.3 Forum Selection Strategy . . . . .                                          | 7         |
| 2.4 Analyzing UN Procedures . . . . .                                           | 7         |
| <b>Module 3: Negotiation Theory and Strategy for Multilateral Settings</b>      | <b>7</b>  |
| 3.1 Adapting Interest-Based Negotiation . . . . .                               | 8         |
| 3.2 Distributive vs Integrative Bargaining . . . . .                            | 8         |
| 3.3 Structured Preparation Framework . . . . .                                  | 8         |
| 3.4 Multilateral Negotiation Preparation Exercise . . . . .                     | 8         |
| <b>Module 4: Coalition-Building and Group Dynamics</b>                          | <b>8</b>  |
| 4.1 Major UN Coalitions . . . . .                                               | 9         |
| 4.2 Building Effective Coalitions . . . . .                                     | 9         |
| 4.3 Managing Internal Coalitions . . . . .                                      | 9         |
| 4.4 Coalition Mapping Strategy . . . . .                                        | 9         |
| <b>Module 5: Drafting Resolutions and the Power of Language</b>                 | <b>9</b>  |
| 5.1 Resolution Anatomy and Structure . . . . .                                  | 10        |
| 5.2 Word Choice and Legal Weight . . . . .                                      | 10        |
| 5.3 Managing Text Through Negotiation . . . . .                                 | 10        |
| 5.4 Drafting and Softening Resolution Language . . . . .                        | 10        |
| <b>Module 6: Managing Deadlock: Crisis Diplomacy and Difficult Negotiations</b> | <b>10</b> |
| 6.1 Diagnosing Negotiation Deadlocks . . . . .                                  | 11        |
| 6.2 Face Management Strategies . . . . .                                        | 11        |
| 6.3 Post-Breakdown Recovery . . . . .                                           | 11        |
| 6.4 Analyzing Negotiation Failures . . . . .                                    | 11        |
| <b>Module 7: Digital Diplomacy and Modern Negotiation Tools</b>                 | <b>11</b> |

|                                                                      |           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 7.1 Hybrid Diplomacy Trade-offs . . . . .                            | 12        |
| 7.2 Digital Tools in Negotiations . . . . .                          | 12        |
| 7.3 Track Diplomacy and Ideas . . . . .                              | 12        |
| 7.4 Hybrid Process Analysis . . . . .                                | 12        |
| <b>Module 8: Career Pathways and Capstone Negotiation Simulation</b> | <b>12</b> |
| 8.1 Career Pathways in Multilateral Work . . . . .                   | 13        |
| 8.2 Capstone Negotiation Simulation . . . . .                        | 13        |
| 8.3 Personal Action Plan Development . . . . .                       | 13        |
| 8.4 Capstone Simulation Submission . . . . .                         | 13        |
| <b>Final Assessment &amp; Certificate</b>                            | <b>13</b> |

# About This Course

Multilateral Diplomacy & UN Negotiation is an insider's course on how agreements between 193 states actually get made. Eight units cover the foundations of multilateral negotiation, the UN system's organs and rules of procedure, interest-based negotiation theory in multi-party settings, coalition-building and bloc politics, the decisive craft of drafting resolutions, managing deadlock and crisis diplomacy, digital diplomacy, and career pathways — with a capstone simulation of a real negotiation.

## Course information

|                   |                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Course</b>     | Multilateral Diplomacy & UN Negotiation                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>Instructor</b> | Amb. Susan Kilonzo                                                                                                                                                        |
| <b>Level</b>      | Intermediate                                                                                                                                                              |
| <b>Structure</b>  | 8 modules · 32 lessons · 10 weeks                                                                                                                                         |
| <b>Assessment</b> | Module quizzes (pass mark 60%) and a final test (pass mark 80%). Certificate issued on final success, verifiable at <a href="https://acla.io/verify">acla.io/verify</a> . |

## What you will be able to do

1. Define multilateral diplomacy and distinguish it from bilateral and plurilateral negotiation.
2. Identify the main actors in a multilateral negotiation: states, blocs, the Secretariat, observers, and civil society.
3. Explain why consensus-based decision-making changes negotiating behavior.
4. Map the principal UN organs and subsidiary bodies where multilateral negotiation actually occurs.
5. Apply core rules of procedure: quorum, points of order, amendments, and voting thresholds.
6. Recognize how the choice of forum and chair shapes negotiating outcomes before substantive talks even begin.
7. Apply interest-based (“principled”) negotiation to a multi-party, multi-issue environment.
8. Distinguish distributive from integrative bargaining and know when each is appropriate.

## How to use this study guide

This guide is the complete companion to the Tapi Learn mobile course. Each chapter corresponds to one course module and reproduces every lesson in full, including the key takeaways. Read a lesson in the app, then revisit the same text here to revise; the structure and headings match the in-app lesson reader. After finishing a module, take the module quiz in the app (60% to pass), and after all modules, the final test (80% to pass) to earn your verified ACLA certificate of completion.

# Module 1: Foundations of Multilateral Diplomacy

Define multilateral diplomacy and distinguish it from bilateral and plurilateral negotiation. Identify the main actors in a multilateral negotiation: states, blocs, the Secretariat, observers, and civil society. Explain why consensus-based decision-making changes negotiating behavior.

*4 lessons · 8m*

## 1.1 Multilateral Diplomacy Fundamentals

In an era where climate change, pandemics, and economic instability demand coordinated responses across borders, multilateral diplomacy has become the essential mechanism for addressing complex global challenges that no single nation can resolve alone. For African professionals engaging with international institutions, mastering multilateral frameworks is not merely advantageous—it's fundamental to securing climate finance, advocating for equitable development policies, and amplifying the continent's voice in global governance.

### Core Principles of Multilateral Diplomacy

**Multilateral diplomacy** represents a paradigm shift from bilateral relations, characterized by three or more parties engaging within institutional frameworks governed by codified rules, procedures, and norms. Unlike bilateral negotiations where compromise typically involves two parties, multilateral processes must satisfy, or at least not provoke active objection from, a very large and heterogeneous set of actors—each accountable to different capitals, domestic constituencies, and legal systems. The complexity increases exponentially as the number of parties grows, requiring sophisticated negotiation strategies that balance competing national interests with collective action imperatives.

Key distinguishing features include:

- Institutionalized frameworks with established procedures and decision-making rules
- Requirement for consensus or supermajorities rather than simple majority
- Inclusion of diverse stakeholders: states, international organizations, NGOs, and sometimes private sector actors
- Emphasis on transparency, documentation, and formal record-keeping

### Historical Evolution and Institutional Architecture

Modern multilateral diplomacy emerged from the ruins of World War II, with the United Nations Charter (1945) establishing the foundational principles of sovereign equality, peaceful dispute resolution, and collective security. The architecture has since expanded to include specialized agencies (WHO, FAO), financial institutions (World Bank, IMF), and environmental bodies (UNEP, IPCC). In Africa, the African Union (AU) has progressively developed its multilateral mechanisms, including the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) launched in 2018, which represents the world's largest free trade area by number of participating countries.

*"Multilateralism is not about the world managing without the US, or the US managing without the world. It's about the world managing better with the US and the US managing better with the world."*  
— Kofi Annan

## African Case Study: The African Renewable Energy Initiative

The **African Renewable Energy Initiative (AREI)**, launched at COP21 in Paris (2015), exemplifies effective multilateral diplomacy in practice. Coordinated by the African Union Commission and NEPAD Planning and Coordinating Agency, AREI secured initial pledges of \$10 billion to develop 10 GW of renewable energy capacity by 2020 and eventually 300 GW by 2030. The initiative successfully navigated complex negotiations between:

- 54 African nations with varying energy needs and capacities
- International donors (Green Climate Fund, World Bank)
- Technology providers and private investors
- Civil society representatives advocating for community-based solutions

Despite challenges—including only 20% of pledged funds actually disbursed by 2020—AREI demonstrates how multilateral frameworks can mobilize resources for continental priorities while balancing diverse stakeholder interests.

## Practical Professional Guidance

In practice, effective multilateral diplomacy requires:

- **Preparation mastery:** Thoroughly understand your mandate, red lines, and potential trade-offs before entering negotiations
- **Coalition building:** Identify natural allies and potential opponents; develop strategies to bridge divides
- **Procedural expertise:** Leverage rules of procedure to advance your positions and constrain opponents
- **Strategic patience:** Recognize that multilateral processes often require extended engagement across multiple meetings
- **Documentation vigilance:** Ensure positions are accurately recorded in meeting minutes and final documents

## Learn more

- UN Institute for Training and Research (UNITAR) diplomatic training modules
- African Union Commission's Department of Political Affairs, Peace and Security

### Key takeaways

- ✓ Multilateral diplomacy requires balancing national interests with collective action imperatives within complex institutional frameworks.
- ✓ Successful engagement demands mastery of procedural rules, strategic coalition building, and sustained diplomatic patience across multiple negotiation cycles.
- ✓ African professionals can leverage multilateral platforms like the AU and AfCFTA to advance continental priorities while navigating diverse stakeholder landscapes.

## 1.2 Categories of International Actors

This module introduces the main categories of actor a negotiator will encounter: member states (organized into regional groups and cross-cutting political and issue coalitions), the Secretariat and its officials (who service, but formally do not negotiate, most intergovernmental processes), observer states and organizations, and accredited non-governmental organizations and other civil society actors, whose access and influence vary considerably by forum.

## 1.3 Positions vs Interests in Negotiation

A central theme introduced here, and revisited throughout the course, is the distinction between positions (what a delegation says it wants) and interests (why it wants it). Multilateral negotiations are especially prone to positional bargaining because delegations negotiate in public, under instructions from capitals that are themselves difficult to renegotiate mid-session — making the disciplined search for underlying interests both harder and more valuable than in bilateral settings.

## 1.4 Analyzing UN Documentation

Using publicly available UN documentation (the UN's official website or a resolution of your choice from the General Assembly or a subsidiary body), identify: (a) which regional group each of five named sponsoring or objecting states belongs to; (b) at least one cross-cutting coalition (such as the Group of 77, the European Union, or the Non-Aligned Movement) that appears to have shaped the outcome; and (c) one sentence distinguishing a stated position from a likely underlying interest for one delegation involved.

# Module 2: The UN System and Negotiation Architecture

Map the principal UN organs and subsidiary bodies where multilateral negotiation actually occurs. Apply core rules of procedure: quorum, points of order, amendments, and voting thresholds. Recognize how the choice of forum and chair shapes negotiating outcomes before substantive talks even begin.

*4 lessons · 8m*

## 2.1 UN Negotiation Venues

Effective multilateral negotiation begins with procedural literacy. This module surveys the principal venues in which negotiation takes place: the General Assembly and its six Main Committees, the Security Council, the Economic and Social Council and its functional commissions, UN conferences of the parties to specific treaties (such as the climate and biodiversity conventions), and the growing landscape of informal groupings — “Friends of the Chair,” contact groups, and informal-informals — where much of the real drafting occurs away from the formal record.

## 2.2 Procedural Rules Strategy

Rules of procedure are not administrative housekeeping; they are strategic terrain. A well-timed point of order can pause a vote a delegation is about to lose. A procedural motion to adjourn debate can bury a text indefinitely. The threshold required for adoption — simple majority, two-thirds majority, or consensus — fundamentally changes what kind of coalition a delegation needs to build, and consensus-based bodies reward patience and relationship management over raw numerical strength.

## 2.3 Forum Selection Strategy

The choice of chair, and the choice of forum itself, are themselves subjects of negotiation. An issue routed to a technical subsidiary body will be negotiated differently — often by different personnel, under different visibility — than the same issue routed to a high-profile plenary. Skilled negotiators think several moves ahead about where, not just how, a fight should be fought.

## 2.4 Analyzing UN Procedures

Select a UN body relevant to your professional interest (for example, the Human Rights Council, a Security Council sanctions committee, or a treaty conference of the parties). Locate its publicly available rules of procedure and identify: the decision threshold for adopting a resolution, the process for tabling an amendment, and one procedural tool a minority delegation could use to delay or block an outcome it opposes.

# Module 3: Negotiation Theory and Strategy for Multilateral Settings

Apply interest-based (“principled”) negotiation to a multi-party, multi-issue environment. Distinguish distributive from integrative bargaining and know when each is appropriate. Construct a negotiation preparation framework covering interests, BATNA, ZOPA, and priority-setting across issues.

*4 lessons · 8m*

### 3.1 Adapting Interest-Based Negotiation

The foundational model for interest-based negotiation — separating the people from the problem, focusing on interests rather than positions, generating options for mutual gain, and insisting on objective criteria — was developed for two-party negotiation (Fisher & Ury, 1981) but requires deliberate adaptation for multilateral settings, where a negotiator must track dozens of interest structures simultaneously and where “the problem” is rarely a single, cleanly separable issue.

### 3.2 Distributive vs Integrative Bargaining

This module distinguishes distributive bargaining (dividing a fixed set of gains, where one side's win is largely another's loss) from integrative bargaining (expanding the available gains through trades across issues that different parties value differently). Multilateral negotiations are frequently multi-issue by design precisely because multi-issue negotiations create more opportunities for integrative trades — a delegation that cares intensely about financing language may be willing to concede on monitoring language in exchange, if the negotiator can identify and structure that trade.

### 3.3 Structured Preparation Framework

Learners are introduced to a structured preparation framework used by experienced multilateral negotiators: mapping one's own interests and BATNA; estimating the interests and BATNA of key other parties and coalitions; identifying the likely zone of possible agreement on each contested issue; and ranking issues by priority so that concessions can be traded deliberately rather than accidentally.

### 3.4 Multilateral Negotiation Preparation Exercise

Choose a real or hypothetical multilateral issue relevant to your work. Complete a one-page preparation framework covering: (1) your delegation's top three interests, ranked; (2) your BATNA if no agreement is reached; (3) your best estimate of the interests and BATNA of two other key delegations or coalitions; and (4) at least one possible integrative trade across issues that could satisfy multiple parties' core interests simultaneously.

## Module 4: Coalition-Building and Group Dynamics

Analyze the major negotiating coalitions active in UN bodies and how they form, hold, and fracture. Design a coalition-building strategy for a specific negotiating objective. Manage internal coalition discipline while negotiating with parties outside the coalition.

*4 lessons · 8m*

## 4.1 Major UN Coalitions

In consensus-driven bodies, individual delegations rarely carry sufficient weight to shape outcomes alone; coalitions do. This module surveys the major standing and issue-based coalitions active across UN forums — the Group of 77 and China, the European Union (which negotiates with unusual internal discipline as a single bloc on many issues), the Non-Aligned Movement, the Small Island Developing States, the Least Developed Countries group, and numerous smaller “like-minded” groupings that form around specific issues and often dissolve once that issue is resolved.

## 4.2 Building Effective Coalitions

Coalitions are built, not given. Effective coalition-builders identify potential partners through shared interest (not just shared identity), invest in relationship-building before a crisis moment arrives, and are explicit and disciplined about what the coalition will and will not compromise on collectively — since a coalition that fractures publicly during a negotiation loses credibility for future negotiations as well as the current one.

## 4.3 Managing Internal Coalitions

A related and frequently underestimated skill is managing one's own coalition. Delegations inside a coalition often have real internal differences; a chair or lead negotiator who cannot manage those internal differences privately will see them exploited publicly by the other side. This module also introduces the role of coalition “penholders” or lead drafters, and the informal authority that accrues to delegations trusted to draft on a coalition's behalf.

## 4.4 Coalition Mapping Strategy

Using the Coalition Map tool above, map a real or hypothetical negotiation relevant to your work. Identify at least three delegations in the “persuadable middle,” the specific interest that could move each, and a sequenced, two-step outreach plan for the coming week of a hypothetical negotiating session.

# Module 5: Drafting Resolutions and the Power of Language

Distinguish the anatomy of a UN resolution: preambular versus operative paragraphs, and the function of each. Recognize how single-word choices (“shall” versus “should,” “welcomes” versus “notes with concern”) carry negotiated legal and political weight. Manage a bracketed text through successive negotiating rounds toward a clean, adoptable document.

*4 lessons · 8m*

## 5.1 Resolution Anatomy and Structure

Multilateral negotiation ultimately produces text, and the fight over that text is where much of the real negotiation occurs. This module covers the standard anatomy of UN resolutions and outcome documents: preambular paragraphs (which recall context, prior decisions, and shared principles, typically beginning with a present participle such as “Recalling” or “Noting”) and operative paragraphs (which contain the actual decisions, requests, and mandates, typically beginning with a verb such as “Decides,” “Requests,” or “Urges”).

## 5.2 Word Choice and Legal Weight

Word choice in multilateral texts is rarely accidental. “Shall” generally signals a binding obligation, while “should” signals a recommendation; “welcomes” signals endorsement, while “takes note of” signals studied neutrality, and “notes with concern” signals disapproval without outright condemnation. Skilled drafters and their opposing negotiators both read these gradations closely, and a large share of real negotiating time in any drafting session is spent contesting exactly such choices, paragraph by paragraph.

## 5.3 Managing Text Through Negotiation

This module also covers the practical mechanics of managing a text through negotiation: the use of square brackets to mark disputed language, the role of a designated “penholder” or facilitator in producing successive revisions, the discipline of tracking which brackets have been provisionally resolved (“nothing is agreed until everything is agreed”), and the endgame skill of converting a heavily bracketed draft into a clean, adoptable text without losing the substance a delegation was instructed to protect.

## 5.4 Drafting and Softening Resolution Language

Draft a short, three-paragraph resolution excerpt (one preambular paragraph, two operative paragraphs) on a topic of your choice. Then produce a second version in which you deliberately soften the legal weight of each paragraph by one degree (for example, “shall” to “should,” or “demands” to “urges”), and write two sentences explaining what political or legal ground was gained or lost in that shift.

# Module 6: Managing Deadlock: Crisis Diplomacy and Difficult Negotiations

Diagnose the difference between a genuine impasse and a tactical stalling maneuver. Apply de-escalation and face-saving techniques appropriate to high-stakes, public multilateral settings. Design a recovery strategy after a walkout, a failed vote, or a public breakdown in negotiations.

*4 lessons · 8m*

## 6.1 Diagnosing Negotiation Deadlocks

Not every negotiation proceeds smoothly, and multilateral settings generate a distinctive category of crisis: the public walkout, the failed vote, the collapse of a coalition mid-session, or the eleventh-hour objection from a delegation that had appeared to be on board. This module trains negotiators to first correctly diagnose what kind of impasse they are facing — a genuine, interest-based deadlock; a face-saving maneuver by a delegation that privately wants a deal but cannot be seen conceding too easily; or a deliberate stalling tactic by a party that benefits from delay itself.

## 6.2 Face Management Strategies

Because multilateral negotiations occur under public scrutiny, face and reputation management are not peripheral soft skills but central strategic tools. Techniques covered include: proposing a private, informal channel to de-escalate a public confrontation; offering a delegation language it can present to its own capital as a win, even where the substantive movement is modest; and using procedural tools — a suspension of the meeting, a request for a facilitator's text, a reference to an informal contact group — to buy time for tempers and instructions from capital to reset.

## 6.3 Post-Breakdown Recovery

The module also addresses recovery after a genuine breakdown: how negotiations have historically been revived after a failed vote or a walkout, the role of a respected third party or the chair in rebuilding trust, and the judgment required to know when a text should be shelved rather than forced through in a way that produces a hollow, unimplementable agreement.

## 6.4 Analyzing Negotiation Failures

Recall or research a real instance of a multilateral negotiation breakdown (a failed vote, walkout, or public collapse of talks). Write a short analysis identifying: (1) which type of impasse it appears to have been; (2) what recovery steps were taken, if any; and (3) one alternative de-escalation technique from this module that might have produced a better outcome.

# Module 7: Digital Diplomacy and Modern Negotiation Tools

Assess how hybrid and virtual negotiating formats change coalition-building and drafting dynamics. Use digital tools responsibly to track text versions, coalition positions, and public messaging. Recognize the growing role of Track II and civil society digital advocacy in shaping formal negotiations.

*4 lessons · 8m*

## 7.1 Hybrid Diplomacy Trade-offs

Multilateral negotiation has been reshaped by hybrid and virtual formats, accelerated by experience with remote and hybrid sessions across many UN bodies. Virtual and hybrid negotiating formats change the informal, corridor-based relationship-building that has traditionally been central to coalition formation, while also lowering the cost of participation for smaller and under-resourced delegations — a genuine gain for inclusivity that comes with real trade-offs in the depth and speed of trust-building.

## 7.2 Digital Tools in Negotiations

This module surveys practical digital tools now common in professional multilateral practice: shared document platforms for tracking successive text revisions and bracket status, secure messaging channels used for real-time coalition coordination during sessions, and public social media as a tool both for message discipline (a delegation's official position, communicated consistently) and for external pressure campaigns run by civil society and advocacy coalitions working the margins of a formal negotiation.

## 7.3 Track Diplomacy and Ideas

The module also addresses Track II and Track 1.5 diplomacy — informal or semi-formal dialogues involving non-officials, academics, or retired officials that often precede and shape formal negotiations by testing ideas that cannot yet be raised through official channels. Understanding how ideas migrate from Track II spaces into formal negotiating text is an increasingly important literacy for both official negotiators and the civil society actors who often originate that Track II conversation.

## 7.4 Hybrid Process Analysis

Identify one multilateral process relevant to your work that has used hybrid or virtual negotiating sessions. List two concrete ways the format likely changed coalition-building or drafting dynamics compared to a fully in-person format, and propose one practical mitigation for a risk you identify.

# Module 8: Career Pathways and Capstone Negotiation Simulation

Map professional pathways into multilateral diplomacy across government, UN, and civil society tracks. Integrate all preceding modules into a single, extended negotiation preparation and execution exercise. Produce a personal action plan for applying these skills in a live professional context.

*4 lessons · 8m*

## 8.1 Career Pathways in Multilateral Work

This closing module has two parts. The first surveys professional pathways into multilateral work: national foreign service and diplomatic academies; UN Secretariat, agency, and field positions, often entered through competitive examinations, the Junior Professional Officer programs of member states, or specialized recruitment tracks; and civil society and think tank roles that engage multilateral processes as accredited observers or advocates. Each pathway rewards a somewhat different mix of the skills covered in this course, and learners are guided to identify which combination best matches their own career goals.

## 8.2 Capstone Negotiation Simulation

The second and central part of this module is a capstone simulation. Working independently or, where possible, with a small group of peers, learners are guided through a complete, extended multilateral negotiation exercise on a contemporary issue — for example, a hypothetical Security Council mandate renewal, a General Assembly resolution on emerging technology governance, or a treaty conference negotiating a new financing mechanism. The exercise requires learners to apply, in sequence: interest and coalition mapping (Modules 3–4), procedural strategy (Module 2), drafting (Module 5), and crisis management if a simulated impasse is introduced (Module 6).

## 8.3 Personal Action Plan Development

The capstone concludes with a personal action plan: a short written document in which the learner identifies three specific skills from this course they intend to apply in their next professional negotiation, one procedural or strategic weakness they intend to address through further practice, and a concrete next step — a professional development opportunity, a mentor conversation, or a specific negotiation on their own calendar — for putting this course into practice.

## 8.4 Capstone Simulation Submission

Complete the six-step capstone simulation described above in full. Submit, retain, or discuss with a mentor: your interest and coalition maps, your initial and final draft text, your response to the crisis injection, and your personal action plan for applying these skills professionally.

## Final Assessment & Certificate

The final test draws on questions from every module. The pass mark is 80%. When you pass, Tapi Learn issues a certificate of completion bearing your name, the course title, your final score, a unique certificate number and the signature of the CEO of the Pan African Network for Climate Action (PANFCA). Each certificate carries a verification URL ([acla.io/verify/<number>](https://acla.io/verify/<number>)) so employers and partners can confirm its authenticity. You can download the certificate as a PDF directly from the app at any time, and your progress and certificates are backed up to the cloud under your email address.

The Africa Climate Leadership Academy (ACLA) is a pan-African education initiative of the Pan African Network for Climate Action. All Tapi Learn courses are free. Learn more at <https://acla.io> and get the app at <https://acla.io/tapi-learn>.